

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,599	08/25/2003	Bhavesh Mehta	50269-0558	4272	
29989	7590 01/03/20	05	EXAMINER V		
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 2055 GATEWAY PLACE			YOUNG,	YOUNG, JOHN L	
SUITE 550		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,	CA 95110		3622		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1\3			
			1.			
Office Action Summary	10/648,599	MEHTA ET AL.				
Sinos Astion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TL #4# NO DATE -641	John L Young	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 C	October 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
,	•					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	variance. Note the attached Office	Adion of form PTO-13	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive					
JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESO.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		7				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152))			

Art Unit: 3622

THIRD NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION REJECTION

DRAWINGS

1. This application has been filed with drawings that are considered informal; however, said drawings are acceptable for examination and publication purposes. The review process for drawings that are included with applications on filing has been modified in view of the new requirement to publish applications at eighteen months after the filing date of applications, or any priority date claimed under 35 U.S.C. §§119, 120, 121, or 365.

STATUS

2. Claims 1-20 are pending.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over <u>Donian</u> US 2004/0003398 (1/1/2004) [US f/d: 6/26/2003] (herein referred to as "<u>Donian</u>").

Art Unit: 3622

Serial Number: 10/648,599

As per independent claim 1, <u>Donian</u> (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 6A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 7B; and FIG. 11C) discloses: "A system and method for displaying digital media files with compulsory advertisement files. . . ."

<u>Donian</u> (¶¶[0010]; [0052]) discloses: "the practice of mixing licensed content with advertisements and other paid announcements in a pre-configured time sequence..."

Donian (FIG. 2) discloses a: "NETWORK...."

Donian (FIG. 5A) discloses: "Play Media with 'Interspliced' Ads. . . . "

<u>Donian</u> (FIG. 5B) discloses: "Intersplicer Control Commands" an "Intersplicer" an "Ad Manager" and an "Ad Rotator. . . . "

<u>Donian</u> (FIG. 6A; and FIG. 7B) discloses: "Build and Sequence Ad Blocks"; "Establish Ad rotator"; "Determine Required Ad Time"; "Weight Candidates By Ad Time"; and "Keep Count of Total Ad Time..."

<u>Donian</u> (FIG. 7A) discloses: "Intersplicer"; "Build Initial Section Sequence"; "Adaptive Ad Selection"; "Determine Required Ad Time"; "Weight Candidates By Ad Time"; and "Keep Count of Total Ad Time. . . . "

Donian (FIG. 10B) discloses: "Play Queue Ads"; and "Ads Present?"

<u>Donian</u> (¶[0147]) discloses: "the same block of ads plays with a particular portion of the content. . . . If the predetermined limit is exceeded, the player/viewer rotates in new ad blocks automatically."

4

Art Unit: 3622

<u>Donian</u> ($\P[0155]$) discloses: "the ads... may in part reflect the specific tracks used."

Donian (¶[0169]) discloses: "the program selects appropriate ads to go with the media request list and prepares them for insertion into the content play/view sequence. It selects which ads, if any, are to interrupt the requested content during the course of play, and then it localizes them. It groups the content into play sections, and it groups individual ads into blocks for insertion into the content. It also determines the rules and parameters by which step 518 adaptively selects and groups ads extemporaneously in response to user actions during playback, and provides an ongoing maintenance service to rotate ads into and out of play as needed during the course of the session. Given the nature of its operation, step 514, and the logic it comprises, is referred to herein as the 'ad manager'."

Donian (¶[0170]) discloses: "Adhering to the selections of ad manager 514, the program sets up a play sequence of individual content segments interspoliced with blocks of ads, and it coordinates the real-time conveyance of the interspliced content/ad play sequence to the user in real-time, by way of the system's video display 206, 222 (FIG. 2) and audio output 208, 224 (FIG.2) hardware. Given the nature of its operation, step 514, and the logic it comprises, is referred to herein as the 'intersplicer'."

Donian (¶¶[0173]; and [0218]) discloses: "ad selection criteria. . . . "

Art Unit: 3622

<u>Donian</u> (¶[0180]) discloses: "local database interface 562... serves as the program's store of user information and preferences, which may include without limitation, local media locations and registration data, content and advertisement viewing history... availability and locations of local ads, and other system maintenance data."

<u>Donian</u> (¶[0202]) discloses: "Ad manager 514... establishes a concurrent ad rotator 516. The ad manager may start a new thread for this purpose, or it may communicate with maintenance ... to assign it a new or already-existing ad rotator thread..."

Donian (¶[0203]) discloses: "Ad rotator... handles requests for new ads from an intersplicer... by selecting and localizing appropriate ads, grouping them into blocks if so requested, and sending the result back to requesting intersplicer 518. The ad rotator... is equipped to handle requests to replace individual ads, entire ad blocks, or the entire set of ads and blocks associated with intersplicer 518... it may use the logic of the media transfer component... Ad rotator... may also need to consult medial catalog... to locate new ads, but this step is typically avoided through the ad manager... which pre-locates alternative ads and passes this information along to ad rotator... [which] synchronizes the final media request list with local database 562."

The Examiner interprets this disclosure as showing "determining a subset of said plurality of advertisements which qualify for inclusion in said slot. . . ."

Art Unit: 3622

<u>Donian</u> (¶¶[0204]; [0205]; [0206]) discloses: "sequence table indicating how content is to be interspliced with ads, and... The intersplicer.... selects and resequences ads extemporaneously in response to the user's... navigational choices...."

<u>Donian</u> (¶[0214]) discloses: "The ability of the player/viewer software to adaptively select, sequence, and re-sequence ads that are shown on the basis of user navigation through a self-selected series of media content is a novel feature for media players. . . ."

Donian (¶¶[0010]; [0043]; [0052]; [0155]; [0143]; [0147]; [0148]; [0155]; [0169]; [0170]; [0173]; [0180] [0202]; [0203]; [0204]; [0205]; [0206]; [0269]; [0214]; [0218]; the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; FIG. 5A; FIG. 5B; FIG. 6A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 7B; and FIG. 10B; FIG. 11C; and the whole document) implicitly shows "A method for determining which advertisements to include with electronic content delivered to users over a network, the method comprising the steps of: storing sequence information that indicates a sequence for a plurality of advertisements . . . receiving a request to provide over said network a piece of electronic content that includes a slot for an advertisement; comparing slot attributes of said slot with deliver criteria of said advertisements to determine a subset of said plurality of advertisements which qualify for inclusion in said slot; and from said subset of advertisements, selecting an advertisement to include in the slot based, at least in part, on relative positions, within said sequence, of the

7

Art Unit: 3622

advertisements in said subset."

Donian lacks explicit recitation of the "wherein each of said plurality of advertisements is associated with corresponding delivery criteria" element of claim 1. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of **Donian** (¶¶[0173]; and [0218] which discloses: "ad selection criteria. . . . "; and the whole document) implicitly shows the "wherein each of said plurality of advertisements is associated with corresponding delivery criteria" element of claim 1; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of **Donian** cited above as implicitly showing the "wherein each of said plurality of advertisements is associated with corresponding delivery criteria" element of claim 1 because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of <u>Donian</u> would have provided "a revenue generating market for the ... redistribution of media content, sponsored through paid advertising...." (see Donian (¶[0049])) based on the motivation to modify Donian so as to provide "a new se of the traditional broadcasting business model . . . by adding value to freely exchanged copies of media, distributed over a digital network." (See Donian (¶[0061])).

CLAIM REJECTIONS -35 U.S.C. §103(a)

4. Rejections Maintained for claims 2-20.

Art Unit: 3622

As per dependent claims 2-20, <u>Donian</u> shows the method of claim 1 and subsequent base claims depending from claim 1.

<u>Donian</u> (¶¶[0010]; [0043]; [0052]; [0155]; [0143]; [0147]; [0148]; [0269]; the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 6A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 7B; and FIG. 11C; and the whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements of claims 2-20.

<u>Donian</u> lacks explicit recitation of some of the elements and limitations of claims 2-20, even though the disclosure of <u>Donian</u> cited above implicitly shows same.

"Official Notice" is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-20 were well known and expected in the art by one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention, because it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that the disclosure of Donian (¶¶[0010]; [0043]; [0052]; [0155]; [0143]; [0147]; [0148]; [0269]; the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 6A; FIG. 7A; FIG. 7B; and FIG. 11C; and the whole document) implicitly shows all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-20; and it would have been obvious to modify and interpret the disclosure of Donian cited above as showing all of the elements and limitations of claims 2-20 because modification and interpretation of the cited disclosure of Donian would have provided "a revenue generating market for the . . . redistribution of media content, sponsored through paid

9

Art Unit: 3622

advertising. . . . " (see <u>Donian</u> (¶[0049])) based on the motivation to modify <u>Donian</u> so as to provide "a new se of the traditional broadcasting business model . . . by adding value to freely exchanged copies of media, distributed over a digital network." (See <u>Donian</u> (¶[0061])).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments (filed 10/12/2004) have been considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Applicant's arguments are moot based on new grounds of argument for claim 1 presented in this Office action, necessitated by Applicant's amendment/comments.

As per claims 2-20, the obviousness rejections are maintained because Applicant's response failed to seasonably challenge the Official Notice evidence presented in the obviousness rejections of the prior Office Action, it is well settled in the law that "If Applicant does not seasonably traverse the well known statement during examination, then the object of the well known statement is taken to be admitted prior art. *In re Chevenard*, 139 F.2d 71, 60 USPQ 239 (CCPA 1943). A seasonable challenge constitutes a demand for evidence made as soon as practicable during prosecution. Thus, Applicant is charged with rebutting the well known statement in the next reply after the Office action in which the well known statement was made." (See MPEP 2144.03).

Art Unit: 3622

In this case, Applicant's response is silent as to a demand for references concerning the Officially Noticed well known statement evidence presented in the prior Office Action; therefore, said Official Notice evidence is deemed admitted, and no further references are required in support of said Official Notice evidence.

CONCLUSION

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703)305-7687 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Seventh Floor Receptionist Crystal Park V 2451 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30

Art Unit: 3622

A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John L. Young

JOHN LEONARD YOUNG, ESC. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Primary Patent Examiner

December 27, 2004